IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 859 OF 2006

Abdul Amir Shaikh & ors. ... Petitioner

V/s.

The Slum Rehabilitation Authority & ors. ... Respondent

Mr. Jaideep Mitra i/b. Virendra Kanojia for the petitioner.
Mr. G.D. Utangale for respondent Nos. 1 & 2.
Mr. S.G. Surana for respondent No. 4.
Mr. Milind Sathe, Sr. Counsel with Mr. F. Pooniwale i/b. Kanga & Co.

CORAM : F.I. REBELLO,
ANOOP V. MOHTA,JJ.
DATED : 21st April, 2006

P.C.

. An SRA Scheme has been sanctioned in the area where petitioners claim that they have their structure. Their names till date are not included in Annexure II. It is their case that they have filed claim but their claims have not been decided by respondent No.2 If claims of petitioners have been filed, respondent No. 2 is directed to decide their claim and if their claims are accepted, respondent No. 2 is directed to amend Annexure II. Respondent No. 1 and builder thereafter to make necessary allotment to the petitioners according to law. Exercise to be completed within eight weeks.

2. It is grievence of the petitioners that persons who are not eligible have been allotted. It is open to the petitioners to file complaint with respondent No. 2. Respondent No. 2 to examine in that matter by giving opportunity of hearing to the petitioners.

3. With the above observations, the petition is disposed of.

[F.I. REBELLO, J.]

[ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.]